

Jan Hoo SO et al.  
Attorney Docket 98070-00003

#### REMARKS

Reconsideration and continued examination is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and the following remarks.

#### Disposition of Claims.

The claims currently pending in the application are claims 4-28 and 32-36. Claims 1-3 and 29-31 were previously cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. The Applicants wish to note that the Examiner has indicated that claims 29-31 remain pending when these claims were in fact cancelled by the Applicants in the Amendment filed on August 3, 2005. Accordingly, the Applicants consider claims 29-31 as being cancelled in the present response.

#### Amendment to the Claims

The Applicants amended independent claim 7 in order to clarify that the input access word is a real name, while independent claim 27 was amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the term "URL information." In addition, independent claims 18 and 28 were amended to clarify that the database may store matched IP addresses or URL information in order to distinctly claim what the applicants consider as their invention.

#### Summary of Prior Art Rejections.

The Examiner has rejected claims 4-28 and 32-36 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,377,961 to Ryu ("Ryu").

Joe Kee SO et al.  
Attorney Docket 98078-88003

The Claimed Invention is Novel Over Ryu.

The Examiner has rejected claims 4-28 and 32-36 as being anticipated by Ryu. In particular, the Examiner has rejected independent claims 4, 7, 9, 18, 25, 27, 28, 32 and 36 as well as respective dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 10-17, 19-26, and 33-35.

The Examiner asserts that Ryu reference recites a web page accessing system connecting a client to a specific web page on a network comprising a real name database storing matched Internet Protocol (IP) and/or Uniform Resource Locator (URL) information and real names in a plurality of web pages and a real name server for searching the real name database using the real name when an access word input is made by the client including finding the corresponding IP address and providing the IP address to the client in order for the client to access the web page corresponding to the one IP address. Further, the Examiner asserts that the Ryu reference discloses an access database which stores access information including the IP address of the client which accesses the real name server and a web server which connects the client to the corresponding web page according to the URL information corresponding to the real name input by the client whether or not the IP address of the accessing client is stored in the access database.

The Examiner further asserts that the Ryu reference teaches a method for connecting a client to a corresponding web page using a database that stores Uniform Resource Locator (URL) information and real names on the web pages on the network comprising the steps of: determining whether an access word input by the client is a real name;

Jan Kee SO et al.  
Attorney Docket 98078-88003

searching the database and finding the URL information and connecting the client to the corresponding web page according to the URL information corresponding to the real name input by the client, wherein the method further comprises the step of searching and collecting various information including the real name from the web pages on the network using the real name as a search word; and providing the information to the client when the real name input is not stored in the database.

A review of the Ryu reference discloses a method for sorting and providing a searching result in an order of relative distance from a user. As such, the keyword from the user in the Ryu reference is not an access word replacing the full domain name, but simply a search word. For example, Ryu teaches that information corresponding to "city hall" is searched, and then the search results are sorted and displayed in order of the closest to the position of the client. Therefore, the client may access a website by entering the search word "city hall" and then selecting one of the search results.

In contrast, independent claims 4, 7, 9, 18, 25, 27, 28, 32 and 36 recite a web page accessing system and related method that determines whether the real name of the access word, URL information, or IP address corresponding to the real name is not stored in a real name database and conducts a search corresponding to information using the real name as a search word when the URL information or IP address corresponds to the real name or the real name is not stored in the real name database. The cited prior art does not teach or suggest this limitation.

Jan Hee SO et al.  
Attorney Docket 98078-08003

Based on the foregoing, the Ryu reference fails to teach or suggest the claim limitation of determining whether the word from the client is a real name and providing a corresponding network address based on the real name when the word is a real name as presently claimed by the Applicants. Further, the Ryu reference does not disclose that the real name server stores an IP address of an accessing client in the access database, and a web server connects the client to the corresponding web page according to the URL information corresponding to the real name input by the client whether or not the IP address of the client is stored in the access database.

Accordingly, the Ryu reference does not anticipate independent claims 4, 7, 9, 18, 25, 27, 28, 32 and 36, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw her rejection of these claims and indicate the allowance thereof. Finally, the Examiner is asked to withdraw her rejection of dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 10-17, 19-26, and 33-35 by virtue of their respective dependencies from independent claims 4, 7, 9, 18, 25, 27, 28, 32 and 36.

#### CONCLUSION

By the present response, the Applicants have provided arguments and evidence in support of their position that the claimed invention directed to a web page accessing system and method using real names is novel over the cited prior art. Based on the foregoing, the application is

Jan Hee SO et al.  
Attorney Docket 98078-08003

believed to be in a condition for allowance and expeditious  
notice thereof is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner have any questions, comments or  
suggestions which would place the application in still  
better condition for allowance, he is respectfully  
requested to call the undersigned attorney collect.

Respectfully submitted,



1/19/2006

Date

Ari M. Bai, Reg. No. 38,726  
Attorney for Applicant  
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 22807  
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, PC  
10 S. Broadway, Suite 2000  
St. Louis, Missouri 63102  
314-516-2651 Telephone  
314-345-4704 Facsimile